

1 Formatted for J Anim Ecol Jan 2012

2

3 **Do *Tachycineta* swallows use public information to choose nest sites?**

4

5 not final author order:

6 **Dave Shutler^{1*}, André Desrochers², Robert G. Clark^x, Kate MacCulloch^{xy}, ...**

7

8 ¹*Department of Biology, Acadia University, Wolfville, Nova Scotia, B4P 2R6, Canada*

9 ²*Centre d'étude de la forêt, Pavillon Abitibi-Price, 2405, rue de la Terrasse, Université*

10 *Laval, Québec (Québec) G1V 0A6, Canada*

11 ^x*Environment Canada, 115 Perimeter Road, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 0X4,*

12 *Canada*

13

14 ^y*Current address: ***

15

16 *Correspondence author. Email dave.shutler@acadiau.ca

17

18 **Summary**

19

20 **1.** In many species of birds, about half that achieve breeding age die after their first attempt. If
21 there is spatial variation in reproductive success, choosing where to breed could thus be
22 paramount to their lifetime Darwinian fitness. How birds make decisions about where to breed is
23 thus of significant interest.

24 **2.** The Public Information Hypothesis (PIH) posits that birds acquire information about where to
25 breed by prospecting for future nest sites using information that is shared (inadvertently or not)
26 by neighbouring birds. The information would intuitively include reliable metrics of future
27 spatial variation in reproductive success.

28 **3.** *Tachycineta* swallows readily breed in nest boxes, allowing for manipulation of apparent
29 reproductive success of entire neighbourhoods, and providing opportunities for both
30 observational and experimental tests of the PIH.

31 **4.** Using data from ** *Tachycineta* populations of ** different species in North and South
32 America, we tested whether variation in metrics of reproductive success (initiation date, clutch
33 size, number of young hatching, number of young fledging) was associated with variation in the
34 same metrics in neighbourhoods of nest boxes in the subsequent year. We also tested whether
35 natal and breeding dispersal were affected by the same PI.

36 **5.** In total, we performed ** tests, and found support for the PIH in ** cases. Results ** by year
37 or geographic location, and were ** by experimental manipulation. Thus, contrary to prediction,
38 our results collectively suggest that PI is not used or is not important to *Tachycineta* swallows
39 decisions about where to breed.

40 **6.** One explanation for our failure to find support for the PIH is that nest boxes have artificially
41 high reproductive success, and that there is insufficient spatial variation in reproductive success.
42 However, spatial variation in reproductive success does occur in several of our nest box
43 populations (particularly those where predator guards are not used). Moreover, studies on other
44 cavity-nesting birds have found support for the PIH. Thus, it remains to be determined what
45 distinguishes bird species for which the PIH is not supported.

46

47 **Key-words:** clutch manipulation, public information, *Tachycineta albilinea*, *Tachycineta*
48 *bicolor*, *Tachycineta leucorrhoa*, *Tachycineta thalassina*

49 **Introduction**

50

51 Breeding locations vary in several key variables, including probability of predation and
52 availability of food for offspring (Martin 1995; Clark & Shutler 1999). Poor decisions about
53 where to breed may be fatal for current sets of offspring and even to parents. For short-lived
54 organisms with limited breeding opportunities, decisions about where to breed are even more
55 crucial. Concomitantly for short-lived species, there are fewer opportunities to learn from
56 mistakes, putting even greater premiums on immediate good decisions. Increasingly, researchers
57 are recognizing that local conspecific breeders inadvertently (or not) provide potentially valuable
58 information about where to breed (Stamps 1994; Muller et al. 1997; Doligez, Danchin & Clobert
59 2002; Valone 2007), the so-called the Public Information Hypothesis (PIH). We tested the PIH
60 using several years of data from several populations ranging from Alaska to Argentina of four
61 species of swallows in the genus *Tachycineta*. In some cases, breeding success was manipulated
62 by either increasing or decreasing clutch or brood sizes, lending experimental rigour to our tests.

63 Mortality for many passerines is approximately 50% between successive nesting
64 attempts, including for tree swallows, *Tachycineta bicolor* (Robertson, Stutchbury & Cohen
65 1998, Shutler & Clark 2006, Winkler et al. 2011), so there is significant selection on making a
66 correct decision about where to breed. If *Tachycineta* swallows acquire public information, they
67 may do so by prospecting (Stutchbury and Robertson 1985, Reed et al. 1999). Although
68 information that prospecting birds gather is not known with certainty, reliable indices of current
69 reproduction could include vocalisations of broods, rates of feeding by parents, presence of
70 young in the nest, density of fledglings, or simply old nests (Erckmann et al. 1990, Safran et al.
71 2007; Sergio et al. 2007; Forsmann et al. 2008).

72 We acquired data on reproductive success and dispersal from four species of *Tachycineta*
73 swallows (*bicolor*, *thalassina*, *albilinea*, and *leucorrhoa*) from as far north as Fairbanks, Alaska
74 (65°N) and as far south as Chascomus, Argentina (36°S). The four species are all closely related
75 and belong to a monophyletic clade (Whittingham et al. 2002), but there is significant spatial,
76 temporal, and species-specific variation in life histories. These birds share the trait of using nest
77 boxes for breeding, and thus have become favoured species for study. Using data from these
78 species, we provide one of the most thorough tests of the PIH to date.

79

80

81 **Methods**

82

83 Data in this paper were collected and contributed by members of a research network called
84 *Golondrinas de las Americas* (see <<http://golondrinas.cornell.edu>>) as well as additional
85 participants outside the network. Members of *Golondrinas* use similar procedures to routinely
86 gather data on *Tachycineta* reproduction. At most sites, nest boxes for tree swallows were
87 erected ~1.5 m high and ≥ 20 m apart (Muldal, Gibbs & Robertson 1985), generally close to
88 aquatic habitats (i.e., from which adult stages of aquatic insects emerge and provide a key food
89 source for swallows; Hussell and Quinney 1987). For **. Boxes were usually visited every 1 to
90 3 d (up to 7 d) at the start of the breeding season. Adults are captured opportunistically or
91 trapped (when nestlings are ~4 days old) inside nest boxes, and banded with numbered
92 aluminum bands. Boxes are visited regularly to record initiation date (date of first egg) and
93 clutch size (which is deemed to have been reached if it remained consistent for three consecutive
94 days). Visits thereafter are timed to determine date of hatch, and number of eggs hatching.

95 Nestlings are banded at ~12 days of age, and to prevent premature fledging, visits to boxes
96 usually cease until a final visit 20 or more days after hatch, at which time nests are inspected for
97 presence of dead young. Number of young fledging is number of nestlings at the last visit less
98 those found dead in the nest at this final visit.

99 In ** cases, trios of nests that were initiated on the same day were randomly assigned to
100 reduce, control, or add manipulations. As many as three eggs or nestlings were removed from a
101 “reduce nest” and placed in an “add nest”. The equivalent number of eggs in a control nest were
102 picked up and replaced. Add nests typically produced more, and reduce nests fewer, fledglings
103 than control nests, so that apparent reproductive success was successfully altered by these
104 experimental manipulations (Shutler et al. 2006).

105 Statistical analyses were performed in SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

106
107

108 **Results**

109
110

111 **Discussion**

112
113

114 **Acknowledgments**

115
116

We thank NSERC for funding, and ** anonymous reviewers for comments.

117
118

119 **References**

120
121

Clark, R.G. & Shutler, D. (1999) Avian habitat selection: pattern from process in nest-site use
122 by ducks? *Ecology*, **80**, 272-287.

123 Doligez, B., Danchin, E. & Clobert, J. (2002) Public information and breeding habitat selection
124 in a wild bird population. *Science*, **297**, 1168-1170.

125 Erckmann, W.J., Beletsky, L.D., Orians, G.H., Johnsen, T., Sharbaugh, S. & D’Antonio, C.
126 (1990) Old nests as cues for nest-site selection: an experimental test with Red-Winged
127 Blackbirds. *Condor*, **92**, 113-117.

128 Forsman, J.T., Hjernquist, M.B., Taipale, J. & Gustafsson, L. (2008) Competitor density cues for
129 habitat quality facilitating habitat selection and investment decisions. *Behavioral*
130 *Ecology*, **19**, 539-545.

131 Martin, T.E. (1995) Avian life history evolution in relation to nest sites, nest predation and food.
132 *Ecological Monographs*, **65**, 101-127.

133 Muldal, A., Gibbs, H.L. & Robertson, R.J. (1985) Preferred nest spacing of an obligate cavity-
134 nesting bird, the Tree Swallow. *Condor*, **87**, 356-363.

135 Muller, K.L., Stamps, J.A., Krishnan V.V. & Willits, N.H. (1997) The effect of conspecific
136 attraction and habitat quality on habitat selection in territorial birds (*Troglodytes aedon*).
137 *American Naturalist*, **150**, 650-661.

138 Reed, J.M., Boulonier, T., Danchin, E. & Oring, L.W. (1999) Informed dispersal: Prospecting by
139 birds for breeding sites. *Current Ornithology*, **15**, 189–259.

- 140 Robertson, R.J., Stutchbury, B.J. & Cohen, R.R. (1992) Tree swallow. *The Birds of North*
141 *America* (eds A. Poole, P. Stettenheim & F. Gill). Number 11. The Academy of Natural
142 Sciences, Washington, D.C., USA.
- 143 Safran, R.J., Doerr, V.A.J., Sherman, P.W., Doerr, E.D., Flaxman, S.M. & Winkler, D.W. (2007)
144 Group breeding in vertebrates: linking individual and population-level approaches.
145 *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, **9**, 1163-1185
- 146 Sergio, F., Blas, J., Forero, M.G., Donazar, J.A. & Hiraldo, F. (2007) Sequential settlement and
147 site dependence in a migratory raptor. *Behavioral Ecology*, **18**, 811-821.
- 148 Shutler, D., Clark, R.G., Fehr, C. & Diamond, A.W. (2006) Time and recruitment costs as
149 currencies in manipulation studies on the costs of reproduction. *Ecology*, **87**, 2938-2946.
- 150 Stamps, J.A. (1994) Territorial behavior: testing the assumptions. *Advances in the Study of*
151 *Behavior*, **23**, 173-232.
- 152 Stutchbury, B.J. & Robertson, R.J. (1985) Floating populations of female Tree Swallows. *Auk*,
153 **102**, 651-654.
- 154 Valone, T.J. (2007) From eavesdropping on performance to copying the behavior of others: a
155 review of public information use. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **62**, 1-14.
- 156 Whittingham, L.A., Slikas, B., Winkler, D.W. & Sheldon, F.H. (2002) Phylogeny of the tree
157 swallow genus, *Tachycineta* (Aves: Hirundinidae) by Bayesian analysis of mitochondrial
158 DNA sequences. *Molecular and Phylogenetic Evolution*, **22**, 430-441.
- 159 Winkler, D.W., Hallinger, K., Ardia, D.R., Robertson, R.J., Stutchbury, B.J. & Cohen, R.R.
160 (2011) Tree Swallow (*Tachycineta bicolor*). *The Birds of North America Online* (ed A.
161 Poole). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America
162 Online: <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu.bnaproxy.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/011>;
163 doi:10.2173/bna.11.
164
165
166
167